

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Richmond Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Criminal No. 3:96CR66-06

LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO,
a/k/a "Jimmy Fingers"
a/k/a "Frank Nisbett"
a/k/a "James Romeo Nelson"
a/k/a "Phil"
a/k/a "Scott"

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

I. CATEGORY ONE STATUTORY AGGRAVATING FACTORS

Instructions: For the following four statutory aggravating factors in Category One, you may find only one factor present as to the defendant and any count for which you have convicted the defendant for killing a particular victim. If you unanimously find that one of these four factors has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt place an "X" next to "YES" as to that factor and move on to the Category Two factors for that particular count.

COUNT TEN -- KILLING OF ANTHONY BAYLOR

1. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally killed Anthony Baylor.

• YES X
NO _____

2. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally inflicted serious bodily injury which resulted in the death of the Anthony Baylor.

YES _____
NO _____

3. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally engaged in conduct intending that Anthony Baylor be killed and/or that lethal force be employed against Anthony Baylor which resulted in the death of Anthony Baylor.

YES _____
NO _____

4. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally engaged in conduct which he knew would create a grave risk of death to a person, other than one of the participants in the offense, and which resulted in the death of Anthony Baylor.

YES _____
NO _____

COUNT ELEVEN -- KILLING OF MARCO BAYLOR

1. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally killed Marco Baylor.

YES X _____
NO _____

2. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally inflicted serious bodily injury which resulted in the death of the Marco Baylor.

YES _____
NO _____

3. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally engaged in conduct intending that Marco Baylor be killed and/or that lethal force be employed against Marco Baylor which resulted in the death of Marco Baylor.

YES _____
NO _____

4. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally engaged in conduct which he knew would create a grave risk of death to a person, other than one of the participants in the offense, and which resulted in the death of Marco Baylor.

YES _____
NO _____

COUNT TWELVE-- KILLING OF ANTHONY MERRIT

1. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally killed Anthony Merrit.

• YES X
NO _____

2. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally inflicted serious bodily injury which resulted in the death of the Anthony Merrit.

YES _____
NO _____

3. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally engaged in conduct intending that Anthony Merrit be killed and/or that lethal force be employed against Anthony Merrit which resulted in the death of Anthony Merrit.

YES _____
NO _____

4. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally engaged in conduct which he knew would create a grave risk of death to a person, other than one of the participants in the offense, and which resulted in the death of Anthony Merrit.

YES _____
NO _____

Instructions: If you answered "NO" with respect to all four of the Category One Statutory Aggravating Factors in Section I above as to Count Ten, Count Eleven, or Count Twelve, then that

ends your consideration of the death penalty as to that count or counts. Accordingly, you must stop your deliberations and complete Section A of the Decision Form for defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO which relates to that count.

If you answered "NO" with respect to all four Category One Statutory Aggravating Factors as to all three of Counts Ten, Eleven, and Twelve, then that ends your consideration of the death penalty as to this defendant. You must stop your deliberations and complete Section A of the Decision Form that relates to Count Ten, Count Eleven, and Count Twelve for LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO. You should then sign the Certification Form and advise the Court that you have reached a decision respecting LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO.

If you answered "YES" with respect to one of the Category One Statutory Aggravating Factors in Section I above as to Count Ten, and/or Count Eleven, and/or Count Twelve, then continue your deliberations as to that count or counts in accordance with the Court's instructions and proceed to Section II which follows.

II. CATEGORY TWO STATUTORY AGGRAVATING FACTORS

Instructions: Please answer "YES" or "NO" as to whether you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established the existence of any of the following Category Two statutory aggravating factors beyond a reasonable doubt as to each of Counts Ten, Eleven and Twelve. You may find more than one of the following factors for each of Counts Ten, Eleven and Twelve.

COUNT TEN-- KILLING OF ANTHONY BAYLOR

1. The defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO committed the offense described in Count Ten of the Superseding Indictment as consideration for the receipt, and in the expectation of the receipt of something of pecuniary value.

YES X
NO _____

2. The defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO committed the offense described in Count Ten of the Superseding Indictment after substantial planning and premeditation.

• YES X
NO _____

COUNT ELEVEN -- KILLING OF MARCO BAYLOR

1. The defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO committed the offense described in Count Eleven of the Superseding Indictment as consideration for the receipt, and in the expectation of the receipt of something of pecuniary value.

• YES X
NO _____

2. The defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO committed the offense described in Count Eleven of the Superseding Indictment after substantial planning and premeditation.

• YES X
NO _____

COUNT TWELVE -- KILLING OF ANTHONY MERRIT

1. The defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO committed the offense described in Count Twelve of the Superseding Indictment as consideration for the receipt, and in the expectation of the receipt of something of pecuniary value.

• YES X
NO _____

2. The defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO committed the offense described in Count Twelve of the Superseding Indictment after substantial planning and premeditation.

• YES X
NO _____

Instructions: If you answered "NO" with respect to all of the Category Two Statutory Aggravating Factors in Section II above as to either Count Ten, Count Eleven, or Count Twelve, then that ends your consideration of the death penalty as to that count or counts. Accordingly, you must stop your deliberations and complete Section A of the Decision Form for LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO which relates to that count.

If you answered "YES" with respect to any one or more of the Category Two Statutory Aggravating Factors alleged as to Count Ten, Count Eleven, or Count Twelve, (or as to all three counts), for the defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO in Section II above, then you may continue your deliberations in accordance with the Court's instructions only if you also found a Category One Statutory Aggravating Factor in Section I as to that particular count. If you have so found, please proceed to Section III which follows.

In short, you must have found unanimously one Aggravating Factor from Section I and at least one Aggravating Factor from Section II proven beyond a reasonable doubt as to the same count. Otherwise, stop your deliberations and complete Section A of the appropriate Decision Form. If you have signed Section A as to all three Counts Ten, Eleven and Twelve, then you should also sign the Certification Form and advise the Court that you have reached a decision respecting LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO.

III. NON-STATUTORY AGGRAVATING FACTORS

Instructions: Please answer "YES" or "NO" as to whether you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established the following non-statutory aggravating factors beyond a reasonable doubt. You may find more than one of the following factors for each of Counts Ten, Eleven, and Twelve.

COUNT TEN-- KILLING OF ANTHONY BAYLOR

1. The defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO poses a future danger to the community in that there is a high probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence constituting a continuing threat to society

YES _____
• NO X

2. The defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally killed and aided and abetted in the intentional killing of more than one person in a single criminal episode, to wit: Anthony Baylor, Marco Baylor, and Anthony Merrit.

• YES X
✎ NO _____

COUNT ELEVEN -- KILLING OF MARCO BAYLOR

1. The defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO poses a future danger to the community in that there is a high probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence constituting a continuing threat to society

YES _____
• NO X

2. The defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally killed and aided and abetted in the intentional killing of more than one person in a single criminal episode, to wit: Anthony Baylor, Marco Baylor, and Anthony Merrit.

• YES X
NO _____

COUNT TWELVE -- KILLING OF ANTHONY MERRIT

1. The defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO poses a future danger to the community in that there is a high probability that the defendant would commit criminal acts of violence constituting a continuing threat to society

YES _____
• NO X

2. The defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO intentionally killed and aided and abetted in the intentional killing of more than one person in a single criminal episode, to wit: Anthony Baylor, Marco Baylor, and Anthony Merrit.

• YES X
NO _____

Instructions: Regardless of whether you answered "YES" or "NO" with respect to the Non-Statutory Aggravating Factors in Section III above, continue your deliberations in accordance with the Court's instructions and proceed to Section IV which follows.

IV. MITIGATING FACTORS

Instructions: Please answer each of the following questions, respecting the mitigating factors alleged by the defendant, "YES" or "NO." A "YES" answer must be recorded if one juror believes the mitigating factor to have been established by the defendant by a preponderance of the evidence. For each of the following, you also must indicate, in the space provided, the number of jurors who have found the existence of that mitigating factor to be proven by a preponderance of the evidence.

A finding with respect to a mitigating factor may be made by one or more of the members of the jury. Any member of the jury who finds a mitigating factor proven by a preponderance of the evidence, whether or not specifically argued by defense counsel, may consider such a factor in determining whether a sentence of

death shall be imposed. This is true even if no other juror concurs that the factor has been proved. Any juror may find more than one mitigating factor.

MITIGATING FACTORS AS TO THE KILLING OF ANTHONY BAYLOR

(COUNT TEN)

1. As of the date of the offense, LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was youthful, although not under the age of 18.

• YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 9.

2. As of the date of the offense, LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO did not have a significant prior criminal record of violence.

YES _____
• NO X

Number of jurors who so find: 0.

3. As of this date, LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO does not have a significant prior criminal record of violence.

YES _____
• NO X

Number of jurors who so find: 0.

4. Another defendant or co-conspirator, equally culpable in the crime, will not be punished by death.

• YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 6.

5. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was brought to the United States by his mother between age 8 and 9, separating him from the only environment, culture and family he had known.

• YES X
NO

Number of jurors who so find: 7 .

6. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was physically and emotionally abused and neglected by his mother throughout his childhood.

• YES X
NO

Number of jurors who so find: 12 .

7. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was continuously deprived of a stable home environment and rejected by his mother during his childhood.

• YES X
NO

Number of jurors who so find: 12 .

8. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO spent much of his development years in the care of institutions, foster families, or left to his own devices for caring for himself.

• YES X
NO

Number of jurors who so find: 12 .

9. While placed at the Children's Home, LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO adjusted well, responding positively to the structure and guidance provided by Children's Home staff.

• YES X
NO

Number of jurors who so find: 12 .

10. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was deprived of financial support by his mother and abandoned by child protective agencies in his early teen years.

• YES X
NO

Number of jurors who so find: 11 .

11. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO's circumstances and environment were conducive to his becoming involved in the drug business either as a user, a seller, or both.

• YES X
NO

Number of jurors who so find: 12 .

12. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was deprived of a normal and stable education due to the rejection by his mother and constant relocation between institutions and foster placements.

• YES X
NO

Number of jurors who so find: 12 .

13. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO has pled guilty previously when arrested and confronted with criminal behavior, and although he did not plead guilty in this case, has not made any misrepresentations to the jury.

YES
NO X

Number of jurors who so find: 0 .

14. Other persons who committed murders in furtherance of the continuing criminal enterprise or drug conspiracy alleged in this case, whether indicted or not, will not be punished by death.

• YES X
NO

Number of jurors who so find: 4 .

MITIGATING FACTORS AS TO THE KILLING OF MARCO BAYLOR

(COUNT ELEVEN)

1. As of the date of the offense, LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was youthful, although not under the age of 18.

YES X

NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 9.

2. As of the date of the offense, LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO did not have a significant prior criminal record of violence.

YES _____

NO X

Number of jurors who so find: 0.

3. As of this date, LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO does not have a significant prior criminal record of violence.

YES _____

NO X

Number of jurors who so find: 0.

4. Another defendant or co-conspirator, equally culpable in the crime, will not be punished by death.

YES X

NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 6.

5. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was brought to the United States by his mother between age 8 and 9, separating him from the only environment, culture and family he had known.

YES X

NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 7.

6. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was physically and emotionally abused and neglected by his mother throughout his childhood.

YES X

NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 12.

7. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was continuously deprived of a stable home environment and rejected by his mother during his childhood.

YES X

NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 12.

8. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO spent much of his development years in the care of institutions, foster families, or left to his own devices for caring for himself.

YES X

NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 12.

9. While placed at the Children's Home, LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO adjusted well, responding positively to the structure and guidance provided by Children's Home staff.

YES X

NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 12.

10. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was deprived of financial support by his mother and abandoned by child protective agencies in his early teen years.

YES X

NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 11.

11. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO's circumstances and environment were conducive to his becoming involved in the drug business either as a user, a seller, or both.

YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 12.

12. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was deprived of a normal and stable education due to the rejection by his mother and constant relocation between institutions and foster placements.

YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 12.

13. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO has pled guilty previously when arrested and confronted with criminal behavior, and although he did not plead guilty in this case, has not made any misrepresentations to the jury.

YES _____
NO X

Number of jurors who so find: 0.

14. Other persons who committed murders in furtherance of the continuing criminal enterprise or drug conspiracy alleged in this case, whether indicted or not, will not be punished by death.

YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 4.

MITIGATING FACTORS AS TO THE KILLING OF ANTHONY MERRIT

(COUNT TWELVE)

1. As of the date of the offense, LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was youthful, although not under the age of 18.

YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 9.

2. As of the date of the offense, LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO did not have a significant prior criminal record of violence.

• YES _____
NO X

Number of jurors who so find: 0.

3. As of this date, LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO does not have a significant prior criminal record of violence.

• YES _____
• NO X

Number of jurors who so find: 0.

4. Another defendant or co-conspirator, equally culpable in the crime, will not be punished by death.

• YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 6.

5. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was brought to the United States by his mother between age 8 and 9, separating him from the only environment, culture and family he had known.

• YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 7.

6. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was physically and emotionally abused and neglected by his mother throughout his childhood.

• YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 12.

7. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was continuously deprived of a stable home environment and rejected by his mother during his childhood.

• YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 12.

8. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO spent much of his development years in the care of institutions, foster families, or left to his own devices for caring for himself.

• YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 12.

9. While placed at the Children's Home, LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO adjusted well, responding positively to the structure and guidance provided by Children's Home staff.

• YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 12.

10. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was deprived of financial support by his mother and abandoned by child protective agencies in his early teen years.

• YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 11.

11. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO's circumstances and environment were conducive to his becoming involved in the drug business either as a user, a seller, or both.

• YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 12.

12. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO was deprived of a normal and stable education due to the rejection by his mother and constant relocation between institutions and foster placements.

• YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 12.

13. LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO has pled guilty previously when arrested and confronted with criminal behavior, and although he did not plead guilty in this case, has not made any misrepresentations to the jury.

YES _____
• NO X

Number of jurors who so find: 0.

14. Other persons who committed murders in furtherance of the continuing criminal enterprise or drug conspiracy alleged in this case, whether indicted or not, will not be punished by death.

• YES X
NO _____

Number of jurors who so find: 4.

ADDITIONAL MITIGATING FACTORS

The following extra spaces are provided to write in additional mitigating factors, if any, found proven by a preponderance of the evidence by one or more jurors. If none, write "NONE" and cross out the extra spaces with a large "X." If more space is needed, write "CONTINUED" and use the reverse side of this page.

15. Questionable Reliability of Key inmate witnesses

Number of jurors who so find 2.

Number of jurors who so find _____.

Number of jurors who so find _____.

Instructions: You have now completed your Special Findings respecting LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO and must begin the process of weighing the aggravating and mitigating factors, in accordance with the Court's instructions, to determine if you will recommend a sentence of death. Remember, you are now considering only those killings for which you have not already completed Section A of the Decision Form. Upon completing your deliberations as to the remaining killings for which you have convicted LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO, complete Section B, C, or D of the Decision Form as appropriate for each crime.

The date and your foreperson's signature should appear below, certifying that these are your Special Findings respecting LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO.

Date

Foreperson

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Richmond Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Criminal No. 3:96-CR-66-06

LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO,
a/k/a "Jimmy Fingers"
a/k/a "Frank Nisbett"
a/k/a "James Romeo Nelson"
a/k/a "Phil"
a/k/a "Scott"

DECISION FORM

As to the crime of killing MARCO BAYLOR while engaged in, or in furtherance of, a continuing criminal enterprise as set forth in Count Eleven of the Superseding Indictment:

A. WE, THE JURY, do not unanimously find proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, the existence of the statutory aggravating factors required by law as prerequisites for the imposition of capital punishment, and therefore do not consider the death penalty as to the killing of MARCO BAYLOR for which defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO has been convicted.

Date

Foreperson

OR

B. WE, THE JURY, unanimously find proven, that the aggravating factors required by law as prerequisites for the imposition of capital punishment have been proven by the government beyond a reasonable doubt as to Count Eleven and as to LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO. We further unanimously find that the proven

aggravating factors, as to this crime and this defendant, sufficiently outweigh any mitigating factors, and, in the absence of mitigating factors, we unanimously find that the proven aggravating factors are themselves sufficient to justify a sentence of death. We vote unanimously that LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO shall be sentenced to death for the killing of MARCO BAYLOR.

Date

Foreperson

OR

C. WE, THE JURY, do not unanimously find that the proven aggravating factors respecting Count Eleven and LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO sufficiently outweigh the proven mitigating factors. We, therefore, return a decision that LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO not be sentenced to death for the killing of MARCO BAYLOR.

Date

Foreperson

OR

D. WE, THE JURY, having considered and evaluated the evidence presented in light of the instructions of the Court, are not unanimously persuaded that a death sentence should be imposed upon LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO for Count Eleven. We, therefore, return a decision that LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO not be sentenced to death for the killing of MARCO BAYLOR.

Date

Foreperson

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Richmond Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Criminal No. 3:96-CR-66-06

LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO,
a/k/a "Jimmy Fingers"
a/k/a "Frank Nisbett"
a/k/a "James Romeo Nelson"
a/k/a "Phil"
a/k/a "Scott"

DECISION FORM

As to the crime of killing ANTHONY MERRIT while engaged in, or in furtherance of, a continuing criminal enterprise as set forth in Count Twelve of the Superseding Indictment:

A. WE, THE JURY, do not unanimously find proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, the existence of the statutory aggravating factors required by law as prerequisites for the imposition of capital punishment, and therefore do not consider the death penalty as to the killing of ANTHONY MERRIT for which defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO has been convicted.

Date

Foreperson

OR

B. WE, THE JURY, unanimously find proven, that the aggravating factors required by law as prerequisites for the imposition of capital punishment have been proven by the government beyond a reasonable doubt as to Count Twelve and as to LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO. We further unanimously find that the proven

aggravating factors, as to this crime and this defendant, sufficiently outweigh any mitigating factors, and, in the absence of mitigating factors, we unanimously find that the proven aggravating factors are themselves sufficient to justify a sentence of death. We vote unanimously that LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO shall be sentenced to death for the killing of ANTHONY MERRIT.

Date

Foreperson

OR

C. WE, THE JURY, do not unanimously find that the proven aggravating factors respecting Count Twelve and LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO sufficiently outweigh the proven mitigating factors. We, therefore, return a decision that LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO not be sentenced to death for the killing of ANTHONY MERRIT.

Date

Foreperson

OR

D. WE, THE JURY, having considered and evaluated the evidence presented in light of the instructions of the Court, are not unanimously persuaded that a death sentence should be imposed upon LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO for Count Twelve. We, therefore, return a decision that LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO not be sentenced to death for the killing of ANTHONY MERRIT.

Date

Foreperson

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Richmond Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Criminal No. 3:96-CR-66-06

LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO,
a/k/a "Jimmy Fingers"
a/k/a "Frank Nisbett"
a/k/a "James Romeo Nelson"
a/k/a "Phil"
a/k/a "Scott"

DECISION FORM

As to the crime of killing ANTHONY BAYLOR while engaged in, or in furtherance of, a continuing criminal enterprise as set forth in Count Ten of the Superseding Indictment:

A. WE, THE JURY, do not unanimously find proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, the existence of the statutory aggravating factors required by law as prerequisites for the imposition of capital punishment, and therefore do not consider the death penalty as to the killing of ANTHONY BAYLOR for which defendant LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO has been convicted.

Date

Foreperson

OR

B. WE, THE JURY, unanimously find proven, that the aggravating factors required by law as prerequisites for the imposition of capital punishment have been proven by the government beyond a reasonable doubt as to Count Ten and as to LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO. We further unanimously find that the proven

aggravating factors, as to this crime and this defendant, sufficiently outweigh any mitigating factors, and, in the absence of mitigating factors, we unanimously find that the proven aggravating factors are themselves sufficient to justify a sentence of death. We vote unanimously that LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO shall be sentenced to death for the killing of ANTHONY BAYLOR.

Date

Foreperson

OR

C. WE, THE JURY, do not unanimously find that the proven aggravating factors respecting Count Ten and LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO sufficiently outweigh the proven mitigating factors. We, therefore, return a decision that LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO not be sentenced to death for the killing of ANTHONY BAYLOR.

Date

Foreperson

OR

D. WE, THE JURY, having considered and evaluated the evidence presented in light of the instructions of the Court, are not unanimously persuaded that a death sentence should be imposed upon LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO for Count Ten. We, therefore, return a decision that LEONEL ROMEO CAZACO not be sentenced to death for the killing of ANTHONY BAYLOR.

Date

Foreperson