.

.

÷

÷

۰ ...

1	DEBRA WONG YANG
2	United States Attorney AUG 30 2005 THOMAS P. O'BRIEN
3	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division
4	GREGORY W. JESSNER (California State Bar No. 121920)
5	STEPHEN G. WOLFE (California State Bar No. 116400) Assistant United States Attorneys
6	Organized Crime and Terrorism Section 1500 United States Courthouse
7	312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012
8	Telephone: (213) 894-0511/3315/7408 Facsimile: (213) 894-3713
9	E-mail: Steve.Wolfe@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) NoSACR 02-938-DOC
14	Plaintiff, ) <u>GOVERNMENT'S SUPPLEMENTAL</u> ) NOTICE <u>OF INTENT TO SEEK THE</u>
15	v. ) <u>DEATH PENALTY AGAINST</u> ) <u>DEFENDANT_BINGHAM</u>
16	TYLER DAVIS BINGHAM,
17	Defendant.
18	NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK THE DEATH PENALTY
19	The United States of America, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.
20	\$ 3593(a), notifies the Court and defendant TYLER DAVIS BINGHAM
21	("defendant") that the Government believes the circumstances of
22	the offenses charged in Counts Six and Seven of the First
23	Superseding Indictment are such that, in the event of a
24	conviction, a sentence of death is justified under Chapter 228
25	(Sections 3591 through 3598) of Title 18 of the United States
26	Code, and that the Government will seek the sentence of death for
27	
28	the following offenses: (1) commission of a violent crime in aid

Received Time Dec. 7. 9:13PM

.

p.11

1 of racketeering activity, to wit, the murder of Frank Joyner, in 2 violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1959(a)(1) 3 [Count Six]; and (2) commission of a violent crime in aid of 4 racketeering activity, to wit, the murder of Abdul Salaam, in 5 violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1959(a)(1) 6 [Count Seven], each of which carries a possible sentence of 7 death.

8 The Government proposes to prove the following factors as 9 justifying a sentence of death.

10

11

A. <u>Statutory Proportionality Factors Enumerated under 18</u> <u>U.S.C. § 3591(a)(2)</u>

12 The following statutory proportionality factors apply to 13 each of Counts Six and Seven.

14 1. Intentional Acts to Take Life or Use Lethal Force 15 The defendant intentionally participated in an act, contemplating 16 that the life of a person would be taken or intending that lethal 17 force would be used in connection with a person, other than one 18 of the participants in the offense, and Frank Joyner [Count Six] 19 and Abdul Salaam [Count Seven] died as a direct result of the 20 act. 18 U.S.C. § 3591(a)(2)(C).

21 2. Intentional Acts of Violence Creating Grave Risk of
22 Death

The defendant intentionally and specifically engaged in an act of violence knowing that the act created a grave risk of death to a person, other than one of the participants in the offense, such that participation in the act constituted a reckless disregard for human life, and Frank Joyner [Count Six] and Abdul Salaam

28

2

p.12

---

1	[Count Seven] died as a direct result of the act. 18 U.S.C. §
2	3591(a)(2)(D).
3	B. Statutory Aggravating Factors Enumerated under 18
4	<u>U.S.C. § 3592(c)</u>
5	The following statutory aggravating factors apply to each of
6	Counts Six and Seven.
7	1. Previous Conviction of Violent Felony Involving
8	Firearm
9	The defendant committed the offense charged after having been
10	previously convicted of a Federal or State offense punishable by
11	a term of imprisonment of more than one year, involving the use
12	or attempted or threatened use of a firearm (as defined in 18
13	U.S.C. § 921) against another person, to wit, convictions for
14	first degree robbery and for assault with a deadly weapon in
15	California Superior Court, San Luis Obispo County, on November 4,
16	1968 in Case No. 6064; conviction for armed bank robbery in
17	United States District Court for the Eastern District of
18	California on December 30, 1976, in Case No. F-76-209-CR; and
19	convictions for armed bank robbery, and for carrying a firearm
20	during the commission of a crime of violence in United States
21	District Court for the Western District of Texas on August 20,
22	1985, in Case No. MO-85-CR-16(01). 18 U.S.C. § 3592(c)(2).
23	2. Grave Risk of Death to Additional Persons
24	The defendant, in the commission of the offense, and in escaping
25	apprehension for the violation of the offense, knowingly created
26	a grave risk of death to one or more persons in addition to the
27	victim of the offense. 18 U.S.C. § 3592(c)(5).
28	3
	·

p.13

Substantial Planning and Premeditation 1 3. The defendant committed the offense after substantial planning 2 3 and premeditation to cause the death of a person. 18 U.S.C. § 3592(c)(9). 4 5 4. Multiple Killings or Attempted Killings The defendant intentionally killed or attempted to kill more than 6 7 one person in a single criminal episode. 18 U.S.C. § 3592(c)(16). 8 Other, Non-Statutory, Aggravating Factors Identified 9 Ċ. 10 under 18 U.S.C. § 3593(a)(2) The following non-statutory aggravating factors apply to 11 each of Counts Six and Seven. 12 13 1. Future Dangerousness of the Defendant The defendant is likely to commit criminal acts of violence in 14 the future that would constitute a continuing and serious threat 15 to the lives and safety of others, as evidenced by, at least, one 16 or more of the following: 17 18 Continuing Pattern of Violence a, The defendant has engaged in a continuing pattern of violence, 19 attempted violence, and threatened violence, including, at least, 20 the crimes alleged against defendant in the First Superseding 21 Indictment, the crime of assault with intent to commit murder of 22 which defendant was convicted in the United States District Court 23 24 for the Central District of California on June 24, 1988 in Case No. CR 87-629-RSWL, and the crimes of which the defendant was 25 previously convicted, as described in § B.1. of this Notice. 26 27 28 4

-

.

(626)441-9301

1	b. Escape Risk and Institutional Misconduct
2	The defendant poses a future danger to the lives and safety of
3	other persons, as demonstrated by his escape risk and
4	institutional misconduct, including, at least, defendant's escape
5	from the Contra Costa County Jail on July 1, 1978, for which
6	defendant was convicted of escape on October 4, 1978 in
7	California Superior Court, Contra Costa County, in Case No.
8	22035, and repeated acts of institutional misconduct while in the
9	custody of the California Department of Corrections, United
10	States Bureau of Prisons, United States Marshals Service, or
11	other law enforcement agencies.
12	2. Contemporaneous Convictions
13	The defendant faces contemporaneous convictions for multiple
14	murders, attempted murders, and other serious acts of violence.
15	3. Racial Animosity Was a Motive for the Murders
15 16	3. Racial Animosity Was a Motive for the Murders The defendant committed the crimes charged in part from racial
16	The defendant committed the crimes charged in part from racial
16 17	The defendant committed the crimes charged in part from racial animosity against the victims of the crimes.
16 17 18	The defendant committed the crimes charged in part from racial animosity against the victims of the crimes. The Government further gives notice that in support of
16 17 18 19	The defendant committed the crimes charged in part from racial animosity against the victims of the crimes. The Government further gives notice that in support of imposition of the death penalty it intends to rely upon all the
16 17 18 19 20	The defendant committed the crimes charged in part from racial animosity against the victims of the crimes. The Government further gives notice that in support of imposition of the death penalty it intends to rely upon all the evidence admitted by the Court at the guilt phase of the trial
16 17 18 19 20 21	The defendant committed the crimes charged in part from racial animosity against the victims of the crimes. The Government further gives notice that in support of imposition of the death penalty it intends to rely upon all the evidence admitted by the Court at the guilt phase of the trial and the offenses of conviction as described in the First
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The defendant committed the crimes charged in part from racial animosity against the victims of the crimes. The Government further gives notice that in support of imposition of the death penalty it intends to rely upon all the evidence admitted by the Court at the guilt phase of the trial and the offenses of conviction as described in the First Superseding Indictment as they relate to the background and
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The defendant committed the crimes charged in part from racial animosity against the victims of the crimes. The Government further gives notice that in support of imposition of the death penalty it intends to rely upon all the evidence admitted by the Court at the guilt phase of the trial and the offenses of conviction as described in the First Superseding Indictment as they relate to the background and character of the defendant, TYLER DAVIS BINGHAM, his moral
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23	The defendant committed the crimes charged in part from racial animosity against the victims of the crimes. The Government further gives notice that in support of imposition of the death penalty it intends to rely upon all the evidence admitted by the Court at the guilt phase of the trial and the offenses of conviction as described in the First Superseding Indictment as they relate to the background and character of the defendant, TYLER DAVIS BINGHAM, his moral culpability, and the nature and circumstances of the offenses
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	The defendant committed the crimes charged in part from racial animosity against the victims of the crimes. The Government further gives notice that in support of imposition of the death penalty it intends to rely upon all the evidence admitted by the Court at the guilt phase of the trial and the offenses of conviction as described in the First Superseding Indictment as they relate to the background and character of the defendant, TYLER DAVIS BINGHAM, his moral culpability, and the nature and circumstances of the offenses //
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	The defendant committed the crimes charged in part from racial animosity against the victims of the crimes. The Government further gives notice that in support of imposition of the death penalty it intends to rely upon all the evidence admitted by the Court at the guilt phase of the trial and the offenses of conviction as described in the First Superseding Indictment as they relate to the background and character of the defendant, TYLER DAVIS BINGHAM, his moral culpability, and the nature and circumstances of the offenses //

p.14

r

.

•

---

.

.

.

.

÷

1	charged in the Eirst Superseding Indictment.
2	DATE: August $26$ , 2005 Respectfully submitted,
З	DEBRA WONG YANG United States Attorney
4	THOMAS P. O'BRIEN
5	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division
6	Hel I with
7	GREGORY W. JESSNER
8	JOEY W. BLANCH STEPHEN G. WOLFE Assistant United States Attorneys
9 10	Organized Crime and Terrorism Section
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America
12	
. 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	б

¢

.

-----

		-
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I, <u>CAREY P. CRONIN</u> , declare:	
3	That I am a citizen of the United States and resident or	
4	employed in Los Angeles County, California; that my business	
5	address is the Office of United States Attorney, United States	
б	Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California	
7	90012; that I am over the age of eighteen years, and am not a	
8	party to the above-entitled action;	
9	That I am employed by the United States Attorney for the	
10	Central District of California who is a member of the Bar of the	
11	United States District Court for the Central District of	
12	California, at whose direction I served a copy of:	
13	GOVERNMENT'S SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK THE DEATH PENALTY AGAINST DEFENDANT BINGHAM	
14	service was:	
15	[] Placed in a closed [X] Placed in a sealed envelope, for collection envelope for collection and	
16		
17	[] By hand delivery [] By facsimile as follows:	
18	addressed as follows:	
19	[] By messenger as follows: [] By federal express as follows:	
20		
21	SEE ATTACHMENT	
22	olard -	
23	This Certificate is executed on $\frac{\delta/\partial 4/\delta}{\delta}$ , at Los	
24	Angeles, California. I certify under penalty of perjury that the	
25	foregoing is true and correct.	
26		
27	CAREY P. CRONIN	
28	Received Time Dec. 7, 9:13PM	

p.16